

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/492,961	01/28/2000	Courtney A. Oldham	AgAuction	1600
54366 7590 02/09/2007 RICK B. YEAGER, ATTORNEY 10805 MELLOW LANE			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, NGA B	
AUSTIN, TX 78759			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3692 DELIVERY MODE	
SHODLENED STATISTOD	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE		
310001210231410100		02/00/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/492,961	OLDHAM ET AL.	,			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Nga B. Nguyen	3692	·			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 31 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS CC 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howe ation. ry period will apply and will expire by statute, cause the application to	OMMUNICATION. Ever, may a reply be timely filed SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed of This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice of	☑ This action is non-fina allowance except for for	mal matters, prosecution as to th	e merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>29-43</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consider					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Extra The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) obj to the drawing(s) be held correction is required if the	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). e drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	` '			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been rece cuments have been rece ne priority documents ha Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	ived. ived in Application No ave been received in this National (a)).	l Stage			
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	948) 5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 09/492,961 Page 2

Art Unit: 3692

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is the answer to the Amendment filed on October 27, 2006, which paper has been placed of record in the file.

2. Claims 1-43 are pending in this application.

Response to Amendment/Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-43 have been considered but are most in view of new ground of rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 29-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curkendall et al (hereinafter Curkendall), U.S. Patent No. 6,342,839, further in view of Cobb et al (hereinafter Cobb), U.S. Patent No. 6,183,258, and further in view of Morrison, "Pigs in cyberspace: Canadian plans to auction livestock via the Internet, Financial Post.

Regarding to claim 29, Curkendall discloses a method for certifying cattle for sale between a seller and a buyer comprising:

Art Unit: 3692

providing seller with a plurality of required guidelines for processing at least one animal prior to the sale, required guidelines comprising: at least one required vaccination, at least one required treatment to animal, at least one required health record, and a required waning date fro at least one animal that is a least forty five days prior to auction (column 16, lines 5-32; column 11, lines 15-20; column 12, lines 25-26);

utilizing, by seller, an electronic identification unit on each of at least one animal, each of identification units comprising a unique animal code for each of at least on animal (column 6, lines 45-65, transponder);

collecting information in each of at least one animal comprising: physical characteristics of at least one animal (column 14, lines 50-65);

Curkendall does not disclose processing a complete and signed certification form which certified that at least one animal was processed in accordance with required processing guidelines; and providing buyer with at least a portion of collected information and information regarding certification. However, Cobb discloses processing a complete and signed certification from which certified that at least one animal was processed in accordance with required processing guidelines; and providing buyer with at least a portion of collected information and information regarding certification (column 9, line 32-column 10, line 62 and column 11, lines 27-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature above with Curkendall's for the purpose of obtaining animal's information from the seller.

Application/Control Number: 09/492,961

Art Unit: 3692

Moreover, Curkendall does not disclose the certification process for a cattle auction. However, Morrison discloses an automated method for conducting a cattle auction (see the entire document). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Morrison to adopt the teaching of Curkendall's to apply the Curkendall's certification process, prior to an auction, for the purpose of ensuring the animal heath before auctioning the animal to buyers.

Regarding to claims 30-34, Curkendall further discloses guidelines further comprises: a required vaccination regimen; a required treatment regimen comprises dehorning at least on animal, castrating at least one animal; performing injections in at least on animal in a region of the neck of at least one animal (column 11, lines 1-column 12, line 48).

Regarding to claims 35, 36, Curkendall further discloses physical characteristics of collected information comprises: weight information, the sex of at least on animal (column 14, lines 50-67 and column 12, line 13).

Regarding to claim 37, Curkendall further discloses collected information is collected and stored based, at least in part, one unique animal code (column 14, lines 17-23).

Regarding to claim 38, Curkendall discloses a method for certifying cattle for sale comprising:

providing seller with a plurality of required guidelines for processing at least one animal prior to the sale, required guidelines comprising: at least one required

Art Unit: 3692

vaccination, at least one required medication, at least one required treatment to at least one animal, at least one required health record, and a required weaning date for at least one animal that is at least forty five days prior to auction (column 16, lines 5-32; column 11, lines 15-20; column 12, lines 25-26);

utilizing an electronic identification comprising a unique animal code for each of at least one animal (column 6, lines 45-65, transponder);

collecting individual animal data comprising: physical characteristics information for each of at least one animal during processing of at least one animal (column 14, lines 50-67);

automatically collecting information comprising: animal weight and unique animal code, for each of at least one animal (column 14, lines 17-23, 50-67); and

automatically updating a database record with collected information corresponding to at least one animal with collected information prior to auction (column 11, lines 9-12).

Curkendall does not disclose submitting a signed certification form which certifies that at least one animal was processed on accordance with required processing guidelines. However, Cobb discloses submitting a signed certification form which certifies that at least one animal was processed on accordance with required processing guidelines; (column 9, line 32-column 10, line 62 and column 11, lines 27-55).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature above with Curkendall's for the purpose of obtaining animal's information from the seller.

Moreover, Curkendall does not disclose the certification process for a cattle auction. However, Morrison discloses an automated method for conducting a cattle auction (see the entire document). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Morrison to adopt the teaching of Curkendall's to apply the Curkendall's certification process, prior to an auction, for the purpose of ensuring the animal heath before auctioning the animal to buyers.

Claims 39-43 contain similar limitations found in claims 30-34 discussed above, therefore are rejected by the same rationale.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-28 are allowed over the prior arts of cited record.

Conclusion

- 7. Claims 1-28 are allowed.
 - Claims 29-43 are rejected.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Nga B. Nguyen, whose telephone number is (571) 272-6796. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM-6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard E. Chilcot, can be reached on (571) 272-6777.

Art Unit: 3692

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3600.

9. Any response to this action should be mail to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

c/o Technology Center 3600

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

(571) 273-0325, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Know building, 501 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA, First Floor (Receptionist).

NGA NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

January 5, 2007